

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAM	MED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKE	T NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	_	
10/683,727	1	0/10/2003	Arthur Sherman		ASMMC.9CP1DV	/1C1	1627		
20995	20995 7590 09/15/2006					EXAMINER			
	KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET						STOUFFER, KELLY M		
FOURTEENT		R		•	ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER	_	
IRVINE, CA	92614				1762		·	_	

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				C				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/683,727	SHERMAN, ARTHUR					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Kelly Stouffer	1762					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. in period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	,							
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 O This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.						
Dienociti	on of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)□ 7)□ 8)⊠ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the forwing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the forwing(s) is objected to by the forwing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).				
12) [] a)[Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948), nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-4, drawn to a sequential vapor deposition process with aluminum oxide, classified in class 247, subclass 248.1.
- II. Claims 5-9, drawn to a process for joining two parts together, classified in class 156, subclass 1.
- III. Claims 10-16, drawn to a process to coat a fiber, classified in class 247, subclass 162.
- IV. Claim 17, drawn to a sequential vapor deposition process with oxynitride, classified in class 247, subclass 248.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions in group I and in group II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the process in group I does not require joining two parts together, and the process in group II does not require trimethylaluminum and atomic oxygen or a cycle where more than one monolayer of aluminum oxide is formed.

Inventions in group I and in group III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In

Art Unit: 1762

the instant case, the process in group I does not require coating a fiber and the process in group III does not require trimethylaluminum and atomic oxygen or a cycle where more than one monolayer of aluminum oxide is formed.

Inventions in group I and in group IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the invention in group I requires trimethylaluminum to make an aluminum film and the invention in group IV requires an element of oxynitride to be formed that does not use aluminum.

Inventions in groups II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the invention of group II does not provide for coating a fiber and the invention of group III does not provide for joining two parts together.

Inventions in groups II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the invention in group II uses aluminum oxide to join two pieces together and the invention in group IV coats a surface with oxynitride and does not provide for joining two pieces together.

Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs,

Art Unit: 1762

modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the invention in group III coats a fiber with aluminum oxide and the invention in group IV coats a substrate with oxynitride and does not provide for coating a fiber.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Andrew Merickel on 30 August 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Art Unit: 1762

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelly Stouffer whose telephone number is (571) 272-2668. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kelly Stouffer Examiner Art Unit 1762

kms

TIMOTHY MEEKS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER